



**REVIEW OF THE SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE TO BE PUBLISHED IN  
JOURNAL OF HERITAGE CONSERVATION**

**Author(s):**.....

**Title of the article:**.....

(mark appropriate answers with an x in the box on the right side)

<b>1.</b>	<b>Overall value of the paper</b>	
	– original paper	
	– paper contributes to development of science or its practical side	
	– paper contains no new findings	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Is the paper title appropriate to its content?</b>	
	– yes	
	– partially (reviewer's comment)	
	– not appropriate	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Was the issue addressed in the paper clearly presented?</b>	
	– yes	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Is the used terminology appropriate?</b>	
	– no	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	
	– appropriate	
	– acceptable (reviewer's comment)	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Literature</b>	
	– appropriate	
	– incomplete	
<b>7.</b>	<b>Key words and summary</b>	
	– inappropriate to the paper's content	
	– appropriate	
	– acceptable (reviewer's comment)	

<b>8.</b>	<b>Is the language of the work appropriate?</b>	
	- yes	
	- no	
<b>9.</b>	<b>Are the illustrations properly selected?</b>	
	- yes	
	- require supplementing (reviewer's comment)	
	- no	
<b>10.</b>	<b>Final assessment</b>	
	- paper can be published in its present form	
	- paper can be published after considering reviewer's comments	
	- not suitable for publishing	

**DETAILED COMMENTS**  
(detailed comments should include necessary corrections and additions)

**Date and signature of the reviewer**

.....